

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JOHN LEE	:	CIVIL ACTION
	:	
v.	:	No. 18-5212
	:	
LAWRENCE MAHALLY, et al.	:	

ORDER

AND NOW, this 5th day of March, 2020, upon careful and independent consideration of Petitioner John Lee's pro se Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus Under 28 U.S.C. § 2254, and after de novo review of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Carol Sandra Moore Wells, to which no objections have been filed,¹ it is ORDERED:

1. The Report and Recommendation (Document 23) is APPROVED and ADOPTED.
2. Lee's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus Under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (Document 1) is DENIED with prejudice and DISMISSED without an evidentiary hearing.
3. Lee having failed to make a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right, i.e. that reasonable jurists would disagree with this Court's substantive and procedural rulings on Lee's claims, a certificate of appealability shall not issue. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2); *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 483-84 (2000).

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Juan R. Sánchez
Juan R. Sánchez, C.J.

¹ The Report and Recommendation was sent to all parties of record on February 12, 2020, together with a Notice from the Clerk of Court advising the parties of their obligation to file any objections within 14 days after service of the Notice. *See* Local R. Civ. P. 72.1 IV(b) ("Any party may object to a magistrate judge's proposed findings, recommendations or report under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) . . . within fourteen (14) days after being served with a copy thereof."). To date, no objections have been received by the Court.